





Dr. Kerstin Tews Environmental Policy Research Centre (*ffu*) Freie Universität Berlin

### NEW CHALLENGES FOR

## DECENTRALISED LABORATORIES OF INNOVATIONS

IN THE GERMAN ENERGY TRANSITION

"Spring Campus" Freie Universität Berlin, 28. March 2017





## ROLE OF DECENTRALISED LABORATORIES IN MULTI-LEVEL GOVERNANCE SYSTEMS

Idea of "laboratory federalism":

- Decentral jurisdictions (with a given degree of discretion) function as "laboratories of innovations"
- Diverse interlinkages between de-central jurisdictions within a multi-level system cause subsequent horizontal processes of policy learning and diffusion

Bottom-up and decentralised innovation diffusion is an alternative or complementary *mechanism of convergence* to hierarchical and (inter)national state-centred negotiation





## NEED FOR LABORATORIES OF INNOVATION IN LOW CARBON ENERGY TRANSITIONS

The specific nature of transition processes:

- Complex process of socio-technical change
  - Destabilisation of lock-in mechanisms
  - Shifts in behavioural patterns
  - Need for technological, political and social innovation

## • Characterised by a great deal of uncertainty

- No predefined and uncontested script /vision
- Need for experimentation

Assumption and positive narrative offered by scholars of polycentric governance:

## the decentralised level provides a space to experiment with innovation





# **NEED: BACKING UP (QUESTIONING?) THE POSITIVE NARRATIVE WITH EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE**

- Idea of laboratory federalism is not new
- New: solid basis in empirical reality (e.g. 100% Renewable energy regions, transnational energy cities' collaboration, etc.)

#### but

 Literature on sub-national experimentation has a tendency to show over-enthusiasm for the innovation potential of decentral and bottom-up processes (positive narrative)

#### More research is needed on:

 empirical evidence of decentral level's *real* contribution to manage the systemic challenges of energy transformations/global climate change mitigation

### Re-shift research focus to:

- Evaluation of efforts at decentral level with regard to :
  - governance challenges of systemic relevance that can be addressed at the local/regional level - need for SCALABLE solutions
  - transferability of decentral innovations



### **New Governance Challenges for Decentralised experimentation**

- Governance challenge I: multi-level coordination of RES targets, planning and implementation strategies
- Governance challenge II: New modes of regional governance to manage conflicts

• **Bovernance challenge II**: adapting to changing/dynamic political framework conditions

Governance challenge IV: Experimentation with decentralized energy flows to provide services for grid stability (security of supply)





## CHALLENGE: ADAPTATION TO CHANGING/DYNAMIC POLITICAL FRAMEWORK CONDITIONS AT SUPERIOR POLICY LEVELS

- I. Reform of support scheme EEG in 2014 and 2016 (partly based on European Commission's state aid guidelines)
  - Introduction of annual caps
  - Mandatory direct marketing
  - Introduction of volume-based auction system

#### Increase of risks for planners/investors in RES/de-motivation at de-central level

 Discussion about discrimination against small-scale initiatives, such as citizen energy projects and cooperatives → verified empirically: see table

Bidding round (auction volume In MW)	Total number of bids	Number of small- scale bids (<1 MW)	Volume of successful bids (in MW)	Volume of successful small- scale bids (in MW)	Volume of successful bids by "big players"* (in MW)	Share of successful bids by "big players" <sup>a</sup> (of total volume)	Share of successful small-scale bids (of total volume)
First	170	25	157	1	110	70%	1%
Second	136	16	160	2	116	73%	1%
Third	127	15	204	4	41	20%	2%
Fourth	108	13	128	2	85	67%	2%

Structure of bidders in the first four pilot bidding rounds for ground-mounted PV.

<sup>a</sup> Bidders with more than one bid and bidders who indirectly feature intercompany ties. Source: Own compilation of data based on Deutscher Bundestag (2015a, 2015b, 2016a, 2016b).

#### Source: Beermann and Tews 2016





## **CHALLENGE: EXPERIMENTATION WITH DECENTRALIZED ENERGY FLOWS TO PROVIDE SERVICES FOR OVERALL GRID STABILITY (I)**

The *decentralization paradigm* in grid infrastructure governance?

- High portion of volatile RES in power mix relevance security of supply issue increases
- Open questions: how, by whom and at which spatial level to organise the balance of supply and demand to guarentee grid stability
- Also a political question of grid infrastructure governance: underpinned by vision fo the future energy systme architecture
- Visions do not evolve automatically as a consequence of technology but as a consequence of politcal discourse
- Ideational struggle between the centralisation and decentralisation paradigm





# **CHALLENGE: EXPERIMENTATION WITH DECENTRALIZED ENERGY FLOWS TO PROVIDE SERVICES FOR OVERALL GRID STABILITY (II)**

The *decentralization paradigm* in grid infrastructure governance: the *control over* the use of flexibility options in smaller spatial entities to provide evidence for scalable solutions for a decentralized energy system



Source: © Kerstin Tews; own illustration based on IZES et al. (2008) and Leprich et al. (2005)

### Decentral pioneers (e.g. 100% RE-Regions) have to re-invent themselves

Shift from a dominant inward-looking focus on merely adding local RE capacity to the grid into a more system-based perspective by offering feasible models of a regional organisation of energy flows (sector coupling of warmth, electricity & mobility; DSM; regional marketing models etc.)



## **CONCLUSIONS I**

I. Political framework conditions are getting worse for new entrants to the energy market

II. The previous pioneers/challengers (e.g. 100% regions) can loose their pioneer role and become mere niche actors

....if they do not consider the following ightarrow



## **CONCLUSIONS II**

Decentralised initiatives (e.g. 100% regions) need to strengthen their *systemic impact* 

Requirements:

- to take a broader perspective beyond the individual region to address regional coordination of energy flows
- to strengthen informal horizontal coordination mechanisms within and beyond formal political administrative borders
- to start experimenting with governance arrangements in order to utilize flexibility options at decentralized level to address the *security of supply* issue
- to strengthen lesson-drawing among each other to foster diffusion of good practice, and to politically lobby for alternatives to the centralisation paradigm



## MANY THANKS FOR LISTENING!

# Please share your thoughts, feedback and recommendations!

#### **Reference:**

Beermann, Jan; Tews, Kerstin (2016): Decentralised laboratories in the German energy transition. Why local renewable energy initiatives must reinvent themselves. In: Journal of Cleaner Production. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.08.130.

Download at: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S095965261631294X</u>

#### **Contact:**

kerstin.tews@fu-berlin.de

jan.beermann@fu-berlin.de